| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) |
|--|--|--|
| | 10/005,085 | MULLIGAN ET AL. |
| | Examiner | Art Unit |
| | Jason L Savage | 1775 |
| All Participants: | Status of Application: | |
| (1) <u>Jason L Savage</u> . | (3) | |
| (2) <u>Rebecca Rokos</u> . | (4) | • |
| Date of Interview: 19 April 2004 | Time: | |
| Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description: | | |
| Part I. | | |
| Rejection(s) discussed: N/A | | • |
| Claims discussed: 32 | | |
| Prior art documents discussed: | | |
| Part II. | | |
| SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: Applicant gave Examiner permission to cancel claim 32 as being drawn to a non-elected invention in order to put the case in condition for allowance. | | |
| Part III. | | |
| It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate a directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate a did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summar | e examiner will provide a writte record of the substance of the | en summary of the substance interview, since the interview |
| | | • |
| | | |
| | | |
| Our Son | F | Ń J. ZIMMERMAN MARY EXAMINER |
| (Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant | oplicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate) | |